arXiv:1608.04458v1 [math.CV] 16 Aug 2016

SOME REMARKS ON QUASINEARLY SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

MANSOUR KALANTAR

Abstract. We prove some basic properties of quasi-nearly subharmonic functions and quasi-nearly subharmonic functions in the narrow sense.

1. NOTATION, DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Notation 1.1. In what follows D is a domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 2$). The ball of center $x \in D$ and radius $r > 0$ is noted $B(x, r)$. We write ν_N for the volume of the unit ball, and λ designates the $N-$dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Definition 1.2. A function $u : D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called nearly subharmonic, if u is (Lebesgue) measurable and satisfies the mean value inequality; i.e, for all ball $B(x, r)$ relatively compact in D,

$$u(x) \leq \frac{1}{\nu_N r^N} \int_B (x, r) u(\xi) d\lambda(\xi).$$

This is a generalization of subharmonic functions, in the sense of, and given by J. Riihentaus that differs slightly from the standard definition of nearly subharmonic functions (see [3] and the references therein).

Theorem 1.3. *A function $u : D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ is nearly subharmonic if and only if there exists a subharmonic function that is equal to u almost everywhere in D. Further, if such a function exists, it is unique and is given by the upper-semi regularization of u:*

$$u^*(x) = \limsup_{\zeta \to x} u(x).$$

See [1, pg 14]

Definition 1.4. A function $u : D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called $K-$quasi-nearly subharmonic, if u is (Lebesgue) mearsurable, its positive part u^+ is locally integrable and there exists a constant $K = K(N, u, D) \geq 1$ such that for all ball $B(x, r)$ relatively compact in D,

$$u_M(x) \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} u_M(\xi) d\lambda(\xi),$$

for all $M \geq 0$. Here, $u_M := \max\{u, -M\} + M$.

This and the following definition are generalizations of subharmonic function given by J. Riihentaus (see [3]).

Definition 1.5. A function $u : D \to [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called $K-$quasi-nearly subharmonic n.s. (in the narrow sense), if u is (Lebesgue) mearsurable, its positive part

u^+ is locally integrable and there exists a constant $K = K(N, u, D) \geq 1$ such that for all ball $B(x, r)$ relatively compact in D,

$$u(x) \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} u(\xi) d\lambda(\xi).$$

Theorem 1.6 (Riihentaus)**.** *Let u be a K-quasi-nearly subharmonic function n.s. on a domain D of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ $(N \geq 2)$.*

(i) *If $u \not\equiv -\infty$, then u is finite almost everywhere and is locally integrable on D;*

(ii) *The function u is locally bounded above on D.*

See [3, Proposition 1]

2. Main Results and their proofs

Theorem 2.1. *If u is K-quasi nearly subharmonic, then so is u^*.*

All we need to show is that $(u^*)_M$ satisfies the quasi mean inequality. We start by proving that

$$(u^*)_M = (u_M)^*. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let (a_n) be a convergent sequence of real numbers and k a constant. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \max\{a_n, k\} = \max\{\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n, k\}.$$

Proof. Let $f(x) := \max\{x, k\}$. This is a continuous function. The left side of the above equation equals $\lim_{n\to+\infty} f(a_n)$ and the right side equals $f(\lim_{n\to+\infty} a_n)$. They are equal by continuity of f. □

Now we can prove (2.2). Let (r_n) be a sequence of real numbers that approaches 0, as $n \to +\infty$ and let $\overline{B(\zeta, r_n)} \subset D$. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_x \{\max\{u(x), -M\} + M\} &= \sup_x \{\max\{u(x), -M\}\} + M \\ &= \max\{\sup_x u(x), -M\} + M,\end{aligned}$$

where the suprema are taken over the ball $B(\zeta, r_n)$. By letting $n \to +\infty$, the left side of the first equation approaches $(u_M)^*(\zeta)$, and the last expression is equal, according to Lemma 2.3, to

$$\max\{\lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_x u(x), -M\} + M,$$

which is $(u^*)_M(\zeta)$. This proves (2.2).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Take $\overline{B(x, r)} \subset D$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}u_M(x) &\leq \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} u_M(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \\ &\leq \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} (u_M)^*(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \\ &= \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} (u^*)_M(\xi) d\lambda(\xi),\end{aligned}$$

by (2.2). We notice that the last integral is a continuous function of x, since the integrand is integrable; it majorizes u_M thus it also majorizes $(u_M)^*$, which equals $(u^*)_M$, by (2.2). We obtain

$$(u^*)_M \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N r^N} \int_{B(x,r)} (u^*)_M(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta),$$

as required. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let u be a K-quasi-nearly subharmonic function n.s. on D, and*

$$N := \{x \in D : u(x) < 0\}$$

be the negative set of u. If the interior of N is not empty, then u is nearly subharmonic.

Proof. We need to prove that u satisfies the mean value inequality everywhere on D. Take a ball $B(x,r)$ relatively compact in N. We have

$$u(x) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{N r^N}} \int_{B(x,r)} u d\lambda \right) \leq 0.$$

We know that almost every x in the interior of N is a Lebesgue point, meaning that the above normalized integral within parenthesis converges to $u(x)$, as $r \to 0^+$. For such an x and by letting $r \to 0$ we get $u(x) \leq Ku(x) \leq 0$ and thus $K \leq 1$. Since by definition $K \geq 1$, we obtain $K = 1$. Now, by Theorem 1.6 (i) u is locally integrable and satisfies the mean value inequality. Thus u is nearly subharmonic.

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let u be a K-quasi-nearly subharmonic function n.s. on D. Then, either u^* is subharmonic on D, or $u^* \geq 0$ and is K-quasi-nearly subharmoic n.s. on D.*

Proof. If the negative set of u has interior points, then by Theorem 2.6 u^* is subharmonic on D. Next, assume that N has empty interior and let us prove that

$$u^*(x) \geq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for all $x \in D$. If $x \in D \setminus N$ or if N is empty, there is nothing to prove. Let $x \in N$. There exits a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D \setminus N$ converging to x, as $n \to +\infty$. We have

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} u(x_n) \leq \limsup_{n \to +\infty} u^*(x_n) \leq u^*(x),$$

since u^* is by construction upper semi-continuous.

To prove that if u is $K-$quasi-nearly subharmonic n.s., then so is u^*, we follow J. Riihentaus [4, pg 5-6] and make maybe some minor adjustments. First notice that u is locally bounded above, according to Theorem 2.1., and so u^* is well-defined. Next, being upper semi-continuous, it is also measurable and integrable. Thus we just need to prove that u^* satisfies the quasi-mean inequality everywhere. Let $B(\zeta, \rho)$ be an arbitrary ball relatively compact in D. There exists $0 > \delta$ such that $B(\zeta, \rho + 2\delta)$ is steel relatively compact in D. We have

$$u(x) \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N \rho^N} \int_{B(x,\rho)} u(\xi) d\lambda(\xi),$$

for all $x \in B(\zeta, \delta)$. By taking the limit superior, we obtain

$$\limsup_{x\to\zeta} u(x) \leq K \limsup_{x\to\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{\nu_N \rho^N} \int_{B(x,\rho)} u(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \right).$$

Let $\phi(x)$ designate the function defined by the integral within parenthesis. Since u is integrable, the function ϕ is continuous in $B(\zeta, \delta)$, according to a classic theorem of measure theory. Thus the limit of the right side is in fact $\phi(\zeta)$. The left side limit is the upper semi-continuous regularization of u. We thus get

$$u^*(\zeta) \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N \rho^N} \int_{B(\zeta,\rho)} u(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \leq \frac{K}{\nu_N \rho^N} \int_{B(\zeta,\rho)} u^*(\xi) d\lambda(\xi).$$

□

References

[1] Hervé. M. *Analytic and plurisubharmonic functions in finite and infinite dimensional spaces*, Lecture notes in mathematics 198, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971).

[2] Riihentanus. J. *On separatly subharmonic and harmonic functions*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 35, No. 4, pp 435 -446, (2007).

[3] Riihentanus. J. *Subharmonic functions, generalizations and separately subharmonic functions* in: The XIV-th Conference on Analytic Functions, Chelm, Section of Mathematics and Computer Science, 2, pp 49-76, (2007).

[4] Riihentanus. J. *On Domination Conditions for Families of Quasinearly Subharmonic Functions*, arXiv:1102.1136v2 [math.CA] (Jun 2011).

E-mail address: kalantarm@smccd.edu